

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/765,482

Applicant(s)

SHADDUCK, JOHN H.

Examiner

Ahmed M. Farah

Art Unit

3739

All Participants:

(1) Ahmed M. Farah.

(2) Andrew P. Cernota (Reg. No. 52,711).

Status of Application: fter Final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2005

Time: 3:10 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claim language of Claim 13 was discussed. In particular, the format in which the microimplantables are recited in the claim was discussed. Applicant's representative proposed a claim language in which the step of localizing the microimplantables within the tissue is positively recited. Applicant's representative further authorized the examiner to make the agreed changes by Examiner's Amendment. See the attached Examiner's Amendment...